

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 27, 1998 LB 320

less than 21 years of age, and the fee will be prorated as follows: for one year or less, \$3.75; for more than one year but less than two years and one day, \$7.50; for three years or less but more than two years, \$11.25. The new provision removes the requirement of any mandatory testing for a normal license, "O", or "M" license that has been valid for less than a year. Any testing is at the discretion of the examiner. That's the amendment that is before you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bohlke. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President, members of the Legislature, on the fee part I have no difficulty at all. I think it was well done and I appreciate the fact that Senator Bohlke, Senator Bromm, and whoever else's staff worked on that because it does resolve an issue. But there is another part to this amendment that I will be able to take up when Senator Bromm gets here that relates to the discretionary authority given to examiners to require somebody to take a test. I had it explained to me that this language was put in so that there could be a waiver of a test in certain circumstances, but by the same token, putting this language in means that any examiner can require everybody to take a test if they choose to do so. So I think you're going to have to divide those two items. If it is felt that a person who has had a driver's license which has been valid for only one year, which I guess carries the presumption or assumption that that person had taken a test recently, let that be freestanding. Then, if there are other circumstances where a person under existing law might be required nevertheless to take an exam, perhaps you could give the examiner the discretion to waive the taking of that exam. But when you have two issues involved here, one is to do away with the requirement that a person take an exam, and the other is to allow a discretionary waiver of an exam, I don't think you can cover both of those different items with one amendment in this manner. There is no way...when an amendment is going to be offered in the way this one is, where you return a bill to Select for a specific amendment, that specific amendment cannot be amended. So I'm not going to resist the adoption of the amendment even though it's carrying material that I have serious questions about. There is no way, once we adopt this amendment, that the bill can be read today, so there will be time for me to talk about this part of the